

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION
OF
JOHN T. PEOPLES
FOR
DETERMINING THE COMPOSITION OF SUBSCRIBER LOOPS
FROM FREQUENCY DOMAIN MEASUREMENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2

DETERMINING THE COMPOSITION OF SUBSCRIBER LOOPS FROM FREQUENCY DOMAIN MEASUREMENTS

5 CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional No. 60/265,411,
filed February 1, 2001, the contents of which are herein incorporated by reference.

10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

15 This invention relates to telephone subscriber loops and, more
particularly, to methodologies and concomitant systems for determining the composition
of a subscriber loop from frequency domain measurements at the input of the loop.

20 2. Description of the Background Art

With the deployment of high-speed data transmission techniques on
subscriber telephone loops, such as ISDN (Integrated Services Digital Network) and DSL
(Digital Subscriber Loop), there has been a renewed interest in devising a technique for
25 determining the composition of the loops from so-called single-ended measurements in
order to qualify such loops for such high-speed digital transmission. A telephone
subscriber loop typically connects a customer with a local telephone central office and is
composed of lengths of copper cable such as, for example 26 gauge or 24 gauge cable. It
is especially desirable to estimate the configuration of a loop from measurements made at
30 the end of the loop terminating at the central office. For example, one might measure the
complex input impedance at the input of the loop over a range of frequencies (generally

referred to as the frequency domain or "swept-frequency approach"), or the time-domain echo at the input to the loop (generally referred to as the time domain or "time domain reflectometer" approach). From these measurements, the composition of the loop is estimated using identifiable characteristics in the response, such as peaks of return
5 signals in the frequency domain or time intervals between peaks in the response signal. Moreover, based upon estimates of the loop configuration from these measurements, it is further possible to estimate the transmission characteristics of the loop to the customer end. It is desirable to effect such measurements using a single-ended approach at the central office so such tests can be automated.

10 One prior art reference that treats aspects of the loop composition problem is U. S. Patent No. 3,904,839, dated September 9, 1975, issued to Peoples and entitled "Loop Fault Locator". The focus of '839 is on locating cable "faults". In the past, a successful fault location program generally involved the following steps: fault sectionalization, fault localization, and fault pinpointing. Each step produced a more
15 refined estimate to the location of a fault. The goal of fault sectionalization is that of locating the access point (e.g., terminal, splice, cross-connect box) nearest the fault from measurements at the central office. The fault localization activity uses measurements at the access point to further refine the estimate of the location of the fault. Finally, fault pinpointing involves "walking" the loop with loop test equipment to zero in on the fault.

20 The definition of "fault" is very broad in this context. Certain loop conditions are indeed faults, such as an open in one of the two conductors comprising a loop, or a short at some point in the loop. On the other hand, other conditions are truly not faults in the usual sense; for example, a gauge change (a cable of one gauge such as 26 gauge being spliced to a cable of another gauge such as 24 gauge) could be interpreted

as a fault since there is an electrical discontinuity at the junction of the two gauges (that is, the two gauges have different primary or secondary electrical constants). However, such a cascade of gauges is actually designed into the loop. The smaller gauge such as 26 gauge is purposely placed closest to the central office to reduce congestion in
5 conduits. Other, coarser gauges are used remotely from the central office to ensure the customer has sufficient current to operate the telephone or other customer premises equipment. The terminology is generalized herein so both actual faults and perturbations due to, for example, gauge changes or the end-of-loop are referred to as irregularities.

The technique of '839 uses a frequency domain approach. The input
10 impedance phase derivative is measured across a range of several octaves above a specified starting frequency to produce a corresponding periodic function. Each irregularity (such as low resistance splice or a gauge change) produces an additive sinusoidal variation in the phase derivative as frequency is increased. The frequency of each sinusoidal variation is linearly related to the distance to the corresponding
15 irregularity and, therefore, provides an estimate to the distance to the irregularity. The frequencies of the sinusoids are determined, using analog or digital means such as computer processing, from the maxima in a transformed function determined from the periodic swept-frequency function.

Numerous other loop functions are measurable at the input to the loop,
20 including the magnitude of the input impedance, the real part of the input impedance, the imaginary part of the input impedance, the phase or phase derivative of the input impedance, and functions related to the return loss (a term of art wherein the input impedance is compared to a reference impedance) such as the real part, the imaginary part, and so forth as for the input impedance alone. The '839 reference uses the phase

Wderivative of the input impedance because, empirically, it is the most sensitive indicator of the distance to irregularities.

There are known limitations on single-ended measurements made in either the time domain or frequency domain. For example, with the swept-frequency technique of '089, if two faults are closely spaced, then the power spectral peak of one fault can dominate or mask the power spectral peak of the other fault. This problem is characterized as one of "resolution", that is, how far apart must irregularities be in order to mitigate interaction to find the associated peak of each irregularity. There is no ready answer to this; each loop must be evaluated separately due to the complexity of the interaction effects of various irregularities. Also, each irregularity gives rise to a multiplicity of sinusoidal terms that can mask even the fundamental sinusoidal frequency of another irregularity. This masking effect is not crucial if the intent is to merely "sectionalize" an irregularity. However, to qualify loops for ISDN or DSL deployment, the coarse results obtained by using the technique of '089 are typically not satisfactory.

Besides the limitations imposed by loop composition such as closely space irregularities, there is also the known problem of estimating peaks in a power spectrum which has been generated using data limited to a finite range of frequencies. This problem falls into the class of interval-limited time or frequency domain sampling. The limited amount of data is equivalent to truncating the complete frequency domain representation of the function being measured by a "weight function". The default, and typical, weight function is a rectangular window. However, such a weight function severely distorts the peaks in the power spectrum because of the interaction of the slow decay of the weight function in the power spectral domain, that is, the transform domain. Improved resolution can be achieved if another weight window is used. Known

examples of such weight windows are the raised-cosine, Hamming window, or Kaiser window. However, even with these "weight windows" there is still the possibility of distortion in the transform domain because of so-called "aliasing" wherein spectral components are interfered with by the decay (albeit more rapid than the rectangular window) of these weight functions in the transform domain.

The prior art is devoid of improved signal processing techniques that can refine the resolution of the swept-frequency measurements so as to further identify previously-masked peaks in the power spectrum. Whenever more peaks can be identified, the composition of the loop can be estimated with greater accuracy.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

These shortcomings, as well as other limitations and deficiencies are obviated, in accordance with the present invention relating to the composition of a subscriber loop, by improved signal processing techniques that can refine the resolution of the swept-frequency measurements so as to further identify previously-masked peaks in the power spectrum.

In accordance with a broad method aspect of the present invention, a method for estimating distances to irregularities on a subscriber loop includes: (a) measuring a loop response as a function of frequency at a loop end; (b) weighting the loop response with a pre-selected prolate spheroidal wave function to produce a weighted response; and (c) generating a spectral analysis of the weighted response wherein the estimated distances to the irregularities correspond to peaks in the spectral analysis.

In accordance with another broad method aspect of the present invention, a method for estimating distances to irregularities on a subscriber loop includes: (a)

measuring the real part of the return loss of the loop using a pre-selected reference impedance over a band of frequencies to generate a loop response; (b) weighting the loop response with a spectral window to generate a weighted loop response; (c) iteratively multiplying the weighted loop response with a pre-determined multiplier function to produce a characteristic function; (d) transforming each iteratively produced characteristic function to determine a set of corresponding characteristic values; and (e) selecting local maxima from the set of characteristic values as estimates to the distances to the irregularities. The broad system aspect of the present invention is commensurate with this broad method aspect.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

The teachings of the present invention can be readily understood by considering the following detailed description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a frequency-domain plot of a loop response corresponding to the real part of return loss for a loop of 5000 feet of 26-gauge cable terminated in a conventional telephone set wherein the reference impedance equals to the characteristic impedance of 26-gauge cable and the frequency ranges from 100 kHz to 600 kHz;

FIG. 2 is a transform domain or spectral domain plot of the loop response of FIG. 1 depicting the single peak corresponding to the end of the loop using a technique of the prior art;

FIG. 3 is a frequency-domain plot of a loop response corresponding to the real part of return loss for a loop composed of 5000 feet of 26-gauge cable in cascade with 3000 feet of 24-gauge cable terminated in a conventional telephone set wherein

the reference impedance equals to the characteristic impedance of 26-gauge cable and the frequency ranges from 100 kHz to 600 kHz;

FIG. 4 is a transform domain or spectral domain plot of the loop response of FIG. 3 depicting a discernible peak corresponding to the end of the loop at 8000 feet and an essentially "masked peak" corresponding to the gauge change at 5000 feet using a technique of the prior art;

FIG. 5A is a plot of the zero-order prolate spheroidal wave function in the frequency domain over the frequency range 100 kHz to 600 kHz;

FIG. 5B is a plot of the pswf in the transform domain depicting its finite support;

FIG. 5C is a plot of the rectangular window in the transform domain depicting its slow decay;

FIG. 6 is a plot of the weighted response of the loop of FIG. 1 obtained by multiplying the loop response of FIG. 3 by the wave function of FIG. 5;

*FIG. 7 is a transform domain or spectral domain plot of the loop response of FIG. 6 for comparison to FIG. 2 wherein the single peak corresponds to the end of the loop;

FIG. 8A repeats FIG. 4 for comparison to FIG. 8B which is a transform domain or spectral domain plot of the loop response of FIG. 3 weighted by the wave function of FIG. 5 to show that two peaks corresponding to the gauge change and the end of the loop are now readily identified;

FIG. 9 is a plot of the characteristic values for the weighted loop response described in FIG. 3 depicting the two spectral peaks corresponding to irregularities as a function of distance;

FIG. 10 is a transform or spectral domain plot of a loop response corresponding to the real part of return loss weighted by the wave function of FIG. 5 for a loop composed of: 10000 feet of 26-gauge cable, a bridged tap of 301 feet of 24 gauge cable, and 1000 feet of 26-gauge cable feet terminated in a conventional telephone set wherein the reference impedance equals to the characteristic impedance of 26-gauge cable and the frequency ranges from 100 kHz to 600 KHz;

FIG. 11A is a plot of the characteristic values for the weighted loop response described in FIG. 9 depicting numerous spectral peaks corresponding irregularities;

FIGS. 11B – 11H are plots of the characteristic values of candidate loops for comparison to the characteristic values of FIG. 11A;

FIG. 11I is a plot of the characteristic values for the weighted loop response described in FIG. 9 wherein the measured loop response is corrupted by a noise of $\pm 10\%$ to show the robustness of the characteristic values to noise;

FIG. 12 is a high-level block diagram of circuitry for measuring the return loss as a function of frequency; and

FIG. 13 is a flow diagram for the estimating distances to irregularities.

To facilitate understanding, identical reference numerals have been used, where possible, to designate identical elements that are common to the figures.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The disclosure of U.S. Patent No. 3,904,839 is incorporated herein by reference; however, this detailed description will provide sufficient details to make this disclosure basically self-contained when pertinent to the claims.

Function Theoretical Basis

The return loss of a loop, $R(\omega)$, is defined by:

$$R = R(\omega) = \frac{Z_{in}(\omega) - Z_{ref}(\omega)}{Z_{in}(\omega) + Z_{ref}(\omega)}, \quad (1)$$

where ω is the radian frequency,

5 $Z_{in}(\omega)$ is the input impedance of the subscriber loop as determined

from the central office end of the loop, and

$Z_{ref}(\omega)$ is a reference impedance.

There are two forms for equations (1): (i) a closed form for a simple loop configuration; and, (ii) a summation form for a complicated loop configuration. Each will be explained in order below.

Closed Form for a Simple Loop Configuration

In order to gain an insight of the principles in accordance with the present invention, it is enlightening to study a simple case of equation (1) which can be derived in closed form. Suppose that the subscriber loop under consideration is a single gauge
15 cable of length L that is open-circuited at the far-end for the range of frequencies of interest (e.g., the customer's telephone instrument is on-hook), and $Z_{ref}(\omega)$ is chosen as equal to the characteristic impedance, designated $Z_0(\omega)$, of the given cable gauge. Since the loop is open-circuited at the far end, using well-known relations for electrical transmission lines, $Z_{in}(\omega)$ may be expressed as

$$Z_{in}(\omega) = Z_0(\omega) \frac{\cosh(\gamma L)}{\sinh(\gamma L)}, \text{ where } \gamma = \alpha + j\beta \text{ is the complex}$$

propagation of the cable gauge as a function of frequency. Then R in equation (1) can be reduced to $R = \exp(-2\gamma L)$. Taking the real part of R yields:

$$\text{Re}(R) = \exp(-2\alpha L) \cos(2\beta L).$$

5 It is readily apparent that for this simple loop structure that the real part of the return loss is a co-sinusoidal waveform weighted by a decaying exponential. The cosine has a period determined by the cable length L . Accordingly, since the real part of return loss in the swept-frequency domain is a co-sinusoidal signal, it is possible, for example, to process $\text{Re}(R)$ by a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) to obtain the “spectral content” of $\text{Re}(R)$ in a transformed domain. In this transformed domain, due to the co-sinusoidal nature of $\text{Re}(R)$, the “spectral content” has one peak representative of the periodicity of the co-sinusoid. The period of the co-sinusoid is proportional to cable length L .

To further demonstrate these principles graphically, reference is now
15 made to FIG. 1 initially. FIG. 1 is a frequency-domain plot of a loop response corresponding to the real part of the return loss versus frequency for a loop of 5000 feet of 26-gauge cable terminated in a conventional telephone set wherein the reference impedance equals to the characteristic impedance of 26-gauge cable and the frequency ranges from 100 kHz to 600 kHz. The exponentially damped co-sinusoidal behavior is
20 quite apparent.

FIG. 2 is a transform domain or spectral domain plot of the loop response of FIG. 1 depicting the single peak corresponding to the end of the loop using the default rectangular weight window of the prior art. The plot of FIG. 2 is obtained by applying a

Fast Fourier Transform algorithm to the real part of the return loss. It is possible to estimate the distance to the irregularity (that is, the end of the loop since the cable abruptly ends in an open-circuit) using the relationships disclosed in U. S. Patent No. 3,904,829, already alluded to in the Background Section, namely equations (4) and (8) in '829. However, as set forth below, a simpler and more accurate technique is elucidated. Thus, since the present interest is only in qualitative comparisons, the location of the peak at an abscissa value of approximately 170 is noted (the abscissa is a data-point normalized scale used for comparison to other plots discussed and shown below which are also normalized).

Summation Form for a Complicated Loop Configuration

For loops other than the simple configuration of a single length of cable, the loop response is very complex. Based on the simple case, the real part of the return loss is represented as a summation follows as:

$$R = R(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_{ij}(\omega) \exp(-2j\alpha_i L_i) \cos(2j\beta_i L_i + \theta_i) \quad (2)$$

where $A_{ij}(\omega)$ is an amplitude function of ω ,

N is the number of irregularities,

L_i is the distance to the i^{th} irregularity, and

α_i and β_i are the real and imaginary parts of the complex propagation

constant associated with the i^{th} irregularity.

Another way to write equation (2) is as follows:

$$R(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^N A_i(\omega) \exp(-2\alpha_i L_i) \cos(2\beta_i L_i) + \text{multiple "reflection" terms.} \quad (3)$$

The manifestation of the multiple "reflection" terms will be apparent in the discussion that follows.

To demonstrate equations (2) or (3) graphically, reference is made to FIG.

3 which shows a frequency-domain plot of a loop response corresponding to the real part of return loss for a loop composed of 5000 feet of 26-gauge cable in cascade with 3000 feet of 24-gauge cable terminated in a conventional telephone set wherein the reference impedance equals to the characteristic impedance of 26-gauge cable and the frequency ranges from 100 kHz to 600 kHz. The loop response is characterized by a superposition of damped co-sinusoids wherein the amplitude as a function of frequency no longer has the simple decaying exponential form exhibited in FIG. 1, and the zero crossings are no longer uniform as the case in FIG. 1.

FIG. 4 is a transform domain or spectral domain plot of the loop response of FIG. 3, using rectangular weight window, that depicts a discernible peak corresponding to the end of the loop at 8000 feet and an essentially "masked peak" corresponding to the gauge change at 5000 feet. The interpretation of FIG. 4 is very difficult in the sense that if the loop configuration is not known beforehand, it is most likely one would be unsure if there is an irregularity in the abscissa range (157,235). Yet, given the postulated loop structure, one would also expect a peak at about 170 from the example covered by

FIGS. 1 and 2. The masking of the peak corresponding to 5000 feet of 26-gauge cable is due to the fact that the irregularity at the gauge change is not as significant as the irregularity at the end of the loop. The irregularity at the gauge change is merely due to

the change in cable gauge, that is, transitioning between gauges with cable constants that differ moderately, as compared to an abrupt open at the end of the loop, that is, transitioning from a gauge with a finite characteristic impedance to an open-circuit. The slow decay of the rectangular window in the transform domain centered on the distance
 5 representing the end of the line severely masks the peak due to the gauge transition.

To demonstrate how the results in the spectral domain can be improved significantly, a new window is introduced to reduce spectral interactions.

Prolate Spheroidal Wave Function (pswf) Window

10 A very useful window to improve the distance estimates in the spectral domain is the zero-order prolate spheroidal wave function (pswf) window. The pswf weighting basically eliminates slow decay of the weight function in the power spectral domain because the pswf has the unusual characteristic of being essentially limited in support or extent in both the frequency domain and in the transform domain. A
 15 algorithm for the pswf waveform useful for computer-based analysis is shown by the FORTRAN subroutine in the sole APPENDIX.

FIG. 5A is a plot of the zero-order prolate spheroidal wave function in the frequency domain over the frequency range 100 kHz to 600 kHz, which is compatible with the abscissa of the plots of FIGS. 1 and 3.

20 FIG. 5B is a plot of the pswf waveform in the transform domain depicting its finite support.

FIG. 5C is a plot of the rectangular window in the transform domain depicting its slow decay, which gives rise to aliasing, for comparison to FIG. 5B.

FIG. 6 is a plot of the weighted response of the loop of FIG. 1 obtained by multiplying the loop response of FIG. 3 by the pswf waveform of FIG. 5.

FIG. 7 is a transform domain or spectral domain plot of the weighted loop response of FIG. 6 for comparison to FIG. 2 wherein the single peak corresponds to the end of the loop. No real new information is obtained for the simple case since aliasing is not an issue for a single peak using a rectangular window.

However, the improvement fostered by the pswf waveform is readily apparent in FIG. 8 -- FIG. 8A repeats FIG. 4 for comparison to FIG. 8B which is a transform domain or spectral domain plot of the loop response of FIG. 3 weighted by the pswf waveform. Clearly, the two peaks corresponding to the gauge change and the end of the loop are now easily identified.

Length Estimates Using the Characteristic Function and Characteristic Values

To both determine estimates of distances to irregularities and further improve the resolution in the spectral domain, additional signal processing on the pswf-weighted loop response is now introduced.

First, a so-called characteristic function for a loop response is determined based upon the following well-known relation:

$$\cos(A)\cos(B) = [\cos(A-B) + \cos(A+B)] / 2 \quad (4)$$

where A and B are arbitrary. Whenever A=B, then equation (4) becomes

$$\cos(A)\cos(A) = [1 + \cos(2A)] / 2. \quad (5)$$

In the spectral domain, that is, if equation (5) is transformed, there is a peak at the 0 abscissa value from the (1/2) term, and another peak at the abscissa corresponding to the term $[\cos(2A)] / 2$.

Now consider applying this principle to the loop response by multiplying equation (4) by $\cos (2\beta_c L_c)$ where β_c is presumed known (e.g., β for 26-gauge cable, or 24-gauge cable, or an average over the known cable gauges) and L_c is a selected length. This leads to, ignoring the multiple reflection terms for the moment:

$$5 \quad \left[\sum_{i=1}^N A_i(\omega) \exp(-2\alpha_i L_i) \cos(2\beta_i L_i) \right] \cos(2\beta_c L_c). \quad (6)$$

If $\beta_c = \beta_i$, and focusing on the cosine terms only of equation (6) as follows:

$$\cos(2\beta_i L_i) \cos(2\beta_c L_c) = [\cos(2\beta_c (L_i - L_c)) + \cos(2\beta_c (L_i + L_c))] / 2. \quad (7)$$

If L_c is iteratively varied over a range (say over the length of the loop or even over an intermediate length encompassing the irregularities), then at values of L_c equal to the L_i 's, the right-hand side of equation (7) becomes

$$[1 + \cos(4\beta_c L_c)] / 2. \quad (8)$$

Thus, peaks in the spectral domain at each L_i can be shifted to the 0-abscissa value by the proper choice of L_c . The term at the 0-abscissa is called the characteristic value. If each characteristic value is determined for each of the iteratively selected values of L_c , and all the characteristic values form a set, then the peaks in the set estimate the L_i 's.

FIG. 9 is a plot of the characteristic values for the weighted loop response described in FIG. 3 depicting the two spectral peaks corresponding irregularities as a function of distance. The range for L_c in feet is (4500, 8500), which covers the two spectral peaks at 5000 and 8000 feet; the abscissa values of 50 and 350 give a direct reading of the distances to the peaks.

Characteristic Value and PSWF Applied to a Complex Loop

As can be anticipated from the expressions of equations (2) or (3), certain loop structures can be problematic. For instance, because the loss of 26-gauge cable is greater than the other standard gauges (19, 22, 24) manifested by the real part of the complex propagation constant (namely, α), and 26-gauge cable is nearest to the central office or measurement end, irregularities beyond long lengths of 26-gauge cable can be masked. To demonstrate this effect, consider the plot of FIG. 10 which is a transform or spectral domain plot of a loop response corresponding to the real part of return loss weighted by pswf waveform for a loop composed of: 10000 feet of 26-gauge cable, a bridged tap of 301 feet of 24 gauge cable, and 1000 feet of 26-gauge cable feet terminated in a conventional telephone set wherein the reference impedance equals to the characteristic impedance of 26-gauge cable and the frequency ranges from 100 kHz to 600 KHz. The large peak at an abscissa of approximately 355 corresponds to the 10000-foot length of 26 gauge cable. There is a small peak at about an abscissa 400 which is barely discernible. Given the loop structure, there are at least three peaks that should be discernable, namely, at 10000 feet (the "main" section), 10301 feet (the "main" section plus the bridged tap length of 301 feet), and 11000 feet (the "main" section plus the "end" section of 1000 feet).

FIG. 11A is a plot of the set of characteristic values for the pswf-weighted loop response described in FIG. 9 depicting numerous spectral peaks corresponding to irregularities and/or their multiple reflections. The initial value of L_c is 9800 feet and it is incremented by 5 feet up to a value of 11800 feet. β_c is the value exhibited by 26-gauge cable. It is readily apparent that there is a characteristic value at 10000 feet. Moreover, there is another characteristic value at 10295, which is the length of the "main" section plus the bridge tap. Also, there is a peak at 10625 which corresponds to a

multiple reflection term for the bridged tap. Also, there is a peak at 11000 feet which corresponds to the “main” section plus the “end” section. Finally, there is a peak at 11295 feet which corresponds to a multiple reflection term for the sum of the main, bridged tap, and end sections.

5 The plot of FIG. 11A illustrates a technique with significant ramifications that requires reiteration. It is possible using the characteristic function/characteristic value approach to move the vantage point along a loop to focus only on a range of distances of interest (e.g., 9800 to 11800 feet in the example of FIG. 11A), while ignoring the configuration of the loop at other distances not of immediate interest. This
10 technique results in applying a so-called “distance filter” to the loop, which may be analogized to a bandpass-filter in the frequency domain.

 The ultimate utility for the set of characteristic values is one of determining loop structure. Recall that the transform domain response of FIG. 10 exhibited basically two discernible peaks. From the set of characteristic values of FIG.
15 11A, it is clear that there at least three other discernible peaks. The technique to estimate the loop structure is as follows. A set of candidate loops which is expected to have sets of characteristic values exhibited by the measured loop is postulated. This set of candidate loops is constructed based upon the information contained in the set of characteristic values for the measured loop. For example, in FIG. 11A, it is possible to
20 deduce from the set of characteristic values that there is: (a) an irregularity at 10000 feet, (b) an irregularity at 10295 feet, (c) an irregularity or a reflection showing up as a peak at 10625 feet, (d) another irregularity or reflection peak at 11000 feet, and (e) a final irregularity or reflection at 11295 feet.

A subset of the set of candidate loops may then be constructed (the subset is meant to be exemplary of the technique to construct the set of candidate loops; the actual set of candidate loops is much larger in practice). The candidate loops exhibit response characteristics that should closely resemble the loop response. The loops are
5 composed of:

- (1) in cascade, 10000 feet of 26 gauge, 300 feet of 24 gauge, and 300 feet of 26 gauge; the set of characteristic values is shown in FIG. 11B;
- (2) 10000 feet of 26 gauge, 300 feet of 24 gauge, a 26 gauge bridged tap of length 300 feet, and an end-section of 700 feet of 26 gauge; the set of characteristic values is shown in FIG. 11C;
- (3) 10000 feet of 26 gauge, a 26 gauge bridged tap of length 300 feet, and an end-section of 700 feet of 26 gauge; the set of characteristic values is shown in FIG. 11D;
- (4) 10000 feet of 26 gauge, a 26 gauge bridged tap of length 300 feet, and an end-section of 850 feet of 26 gauge; the set of characteristic values is shown in FIG. 11E;
- (5) 10000 feet of 26 gauge, a 26 gauge bridged tap of length 300 feet, and an end-section of 850 feet of 24 gauge; the set of characteristic values is shown in FIG. 11F;
- (6) 10000 feet of 26 gauge, a 26 gauge bridged tap of length 300 feet, a 300 foot section of 26 gauge, a 26 gauge bridged tap of 100 feet, and an end-section of 300 feet of 24 gauge; the set of characteristic values is shown in FIG. 11G;

(7) 10000 feet of 26 gauge, a 26 gauge bridged tap of 300 feet, and an end section of 1000 feet of 26 gauge; the set of characteristic values is shown in FIG.

11G.

From the responses of FIG. 11A-11G it is possible to deduce: (i) the loop of FIG. 11B has only 3 peaks in the range between the data point range (1,340), so it is eliminated from consideration; and (ii) the loop of FIG. 11D has 6 peaks, so it too is eliminated. Consider the following table of peaks in the set of characteristic values in

Table 1:

Peak	FIG. 11A	FIG. 11C	FIG. 11E	FIG. 11F	FIG. 11G	FIG. 11H
first	41	10	41	41	41	41
second	100	100	100	100	106	100
third	165	150	162	160	148	167
fourth	241	210	214	212	226	241
fifth	300	298	271	267	289	300

Table 1

A "similarity measure" between the measured loop and the candidate loops is defined to evaluate the degree of similarity between the measured loop and the candidate loops. For example, one similarity measure is based upon the least-squares measure (other measures can readily be defined, such as minimum distance, and so forth) as follows:

$$\text{Similarity Measure} = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\text{peaks}} (p_{mi} - p_i)^2 \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where p_{mi} and p_i are the peaks in the measured loop and any of the candidate loops, respectively.

This similarity measure applied to the data of Table 1 yields the loop of FIG. 11H as the loop configuration closest to the measured loop. In fact, the loop of FIG. 11H has the same electrical distances, but the gauge of the bridged tap differs from the gauge of the measured loop. This is to be expected since it is extremely difficult to differentiate gauge changes at the end of a long section emanating from the central office up to the point of the bridged tap. On the other hand, the loop configuration has been correctly identified. Note the "closeness" of loop configuration in terms of electrical length of the loops of FIGS. 11E and 11F (end section of 850 feet rather than 1000 feet). Yet the similarity measure correctly selected the loop with essentially the same electrical lengths. It must be emphasized that the loops under consideration have a combined length of over 11000 feet, so distances of the order of 100- 300 feet are only 1%- 3% of the total loop length. In other words, the technique of using the characteristic function to obtain characteristic values and, in turn, using the characteristic values to compute a similarity measure is a very sensitive technique. Moreover, and this is a critical point for other known procedures, the technique of the present invention does not require the subtraction of two large numbers (such as the input impedance or return loss, or even a time domain reflected signal) to arrive at a small number; such procedures introduced significant error which is basically uncontrollable.

In line with this discussion, the technique of the present invention is robust in the presence of noise. Other known procedures, especially those relying upon the subtraction of two large numbers, have extreme accuracy problems in the presence of noise. To demonstrate the robustness of the characteristic function approach, the plot of

the characteristic values in FIG. 11I is for the same loop used to generate FIG. 11A, that is, the plot of FIG. 11I shows the characteristic values for the weighted loop response described in FIG. 9 wherein the measured loop response is corrupted by a noise of $\pm 10\%$. There is no discernible difference between the set of characteristic values with and without noise.

Measuring Return Loss

High-level circuit diagram 1200 of FIG. 12 illustrates a bridge arrangement for measuring the return loss. $V_s(f)$ (1210) is the measurement source, which may be a swept frequency source or a periodically swept frequency source to expedite frequency domain measurements. The reference impedance is shown as $Z_{ref}(\omega)$ (1240). The input to the loop (1230) provides $Z_{in}(\omega)$. $V_m(f)$ (1220) is measured across the bridge legs. The real part of the return loss is the in-phase component of $V_m(f)/V_s(f)$.

Flow Diagram

Flow diagram 1300 of FIG. 13 depicts the series of operational steps to carry out one broad aspect of the present invention. As shown by processing block 1310, a measurement of a loop response is effected as a function of frequency from a loop end. Then, as per processing block 1320, the loop response is weighted with the pswf waveform to produce a weighted response. Next, as per processing block 1330, the step of iteratively multiplying the weighted loop response with a pre-determined multiplier function to produce a characteristic function is effected. As then shown by processing block 1340, the step of transforming each iteratively produced characteristic function to determine a set of corresponding characteristic values is carried out. Next, as per processing block 1350, the step of hypothesizing a set of loops wherein each of the loops

in the set has a set of characteristic values commensurate with the set of characteristic values of the measured loop is executed. Finally, as per processing block 1360, the step of selecting one of the loops from the set of loops based upon a comparison of each set of characteristic values of each of the loops to the set of characteristic values of the measured loop completes the process.

Although various embodiments which incorporate the teachings of the present invention have been shown and described in detail herein, those skilled in the art can readily devise many other varied embodiments that still incorporate these teachings.

APPENDIX

5

```

      subroutine pswfdw(x,ndata,ntrf,dps)
      dimension x(ndata)
      double precision dnd,dz,zbase,dn,cum,pr,q,crct,h,a(30)
10      c
      c  x data array or scratch storage
      c
      c  ndata no. data points
      c
15      c  ntrf iabs(ntrf) = no. of transformation points
      c
      c  ntrf.lt.0 - returns data window in x
      c
      c  ntrf.gt.0 - returns x * data window
20      c
      c  dps data point spacing
      c
      c  spheroidal expansion coefficients n=0,a=.5,c=4*pi,1-x**2
      c  20 expansion coefficients sufficient for single precision
25      data ntrm,h /20,5.08125548147497d-01/
      data a(1),a(2),a(3),a(4),a(5),a(6),a(7),a(8),a(9),a(10),
      1 a(11),a(12),a(13),a(14),a(15),a(16),a(17),a(18),a(19),
      2 a(20),a(21),a(22),a(23),a(24),a(25),a(26),a(27),a(28),
      3 a(29),a(30)/
30      1 4.2904633140034d-05, 1.5672417352380d-03,
      2 1.4476509897632d-02, 5.9923940532892d-02,
      3 1.4041394473085d-01, 2.1163435697968d-01,
      4 2.2242525852102d-01, 1.7233583271499d-01,
      5 1.0252816895203d-01, 4.8315671140720d-02,
35      6 1.8482388295519d-02, 5.8542015072142d-03,
      7 1.5607376779150d-03, 3.5507361197109d-04,
      8 6.9746276641509d-05, 1.1948784162527d-05,
      9 1.8011359410323d-06, 2.4073904863499d-07,
      9 2.8727486379692d-08, 3.0793023552299d-09,
40      1 2.9812025862125d-10, 2.6197747176990d-11,
      2 2.0990311910903d-12, 1.5396841106693d-13,
      3 1.0378004856905d-14, 6.4468886153746d-16,
      4 3.6732920587994d-17, 1.6453834571404d-18,
      5 -2.1474104369670d-19, -2.9032658209519d-19/
45      nti=iabs(ntrf)
      ndi=min0(nti,ndata)
      dnd=ndi
      dz=2./dnd
      zbase=-(1.d+00+dz/2.)
50      nh=(ndi+1)/2
      ndp=ndi+1
      crct=dsqrt(2.*dps/(h*dnd))
      assign 80 to ng1
      if(ntrf.lt.0) assign 90 to ng1
55      do 100 n=1,nh
      dn=n
      z=zbase+dz*dn
      q=1.-z**2

```



```

      cum=a(1)
      pr=1.d+00
      j=1
5     50 j=j+1
      pr=pr*q
      cum=cum+a(j)*pr
      if((pr.gt.1.e-09).and.(j.lt.ntrm)) go to 50
      cum=cum*crct
      n1=ndp-n
10    go to ngl,(80,90)
      80 x(n)=x(n)*cum
      if(n.eq.n1) go to 100
      x(n1)=x(n1)*cum
      go to 100
15    90 x(n)=cum
      x(n1)=cum
      100 continue
      return
      end

```

20